

LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMISSION ON HIV

3530 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1140 • Los Angeles, CA 90010 • TEL (213) 738-2816 • FAX (213) 637-4748 www.hivcommission-la.info

While not required of meeting participants, signing-in constitutes public notice of attendance. Presence at meetings is recorded solely based on sign-in sheets, and not signing-in constitutes absence for Commission members. Only members of the Commission on HIV are accorded voting privileges, thus Commissioners who have not signed in cannot vote. Sign-in sheets are available upon request.

PRIORITIES AND PLANNING (P&P) COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

126/2010

December 15, 2009

MEMBERS PRESENT	MEMBERS ABSENT	PUBLIC	PUBLIC, CONT.	COMM STAFF/ CONSULTANTS
Jeffrey Goodman, Co-Chair	Robert Butler	Miguel Fernandez	Scott Singer	Jane Nachazel
Kathy Watt, Co-Chair	Fredy Céja	Susan Forrest	Jason Wise	Glenda Pinney
Michael Green	Jim Chud	Aaron Fox		Craig Vincent-Jones
Ted Liso	Douglas Frye	Tonya Hendricks		
Bradley Land	Anna Long	Terry Goddard	HIV EPI AND OAPP STAFF	
	Quentin O'Brien	Jenny O'Malley		
		Dean Page	Kyle Baker	
		Raquel Sanchez	Juhua Wu	

CONTENTS OF COMMITTEE PACKET

- 1) Agenda: Priorities and Planning (P&P) Committee Meeting Agenda, 12/15/2009
- 2) Memorandum: Fiscal Year 2010 Contingency Planning, 12/15/2009
- 3) **Table**: Fiscal Year 2010 Priorities, 12/15/2009
- 4) **Summary**: HIV Service Category Definitions, 4/28/2009
- 5) Table: Year 19 Priority- and Allocation-Setting Summary of Service Provider Forums, 2/29/2008
- 6) Summary: Fiscal Year 2010 Priority- and Allocation-Setting, Provider Forums: SPAs 3/7, 6 and 8, 3/11/2009
- 1. **CALL TO ORDER**: Mr. Goodman called the meeting to order at 1:50 pm.
- 2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

MOTION #1: Approve the Agenda Order (Postponed).

3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES:

MOTION #2: Approve the Priorities and Planning (P&P) Committee Meeting Minutes (Postponed).

- 4. **PUBLIC COMMENT, NON-AGENDIZED**: There were no comments.
- 5. **COMMISSION COMMENT, NON-AGENDIZED**: There were no comments.
- 6. PUBLIC/COMMISSION COMMENT FOLLOW-UP: There were no comments.
- 7. **CO-CHAIRS' REPORT**: Committee co-chair nominations were opened. Elections will be held at the next meeting.
- 8. FY 2008/2009 EXPENDITURES: There was no report.

9. FY 2010 PRIORITY- AND ALLOCATION-SETTING:

A. Priority Process Review:

- Mr. Vincent-Jones noted the Standards of Care Committee is developing a service effectiveness evaluation report card. It reflects health (quality of life, heath and self-sufficiency) and patient outcomes (adherence, access and maintenance in care). All service categories will be evaluated over seven years with information provided as each category is completed.
- Dr. Green reported OAPP is doing statistical modeling to identify whether access to a particular service category in the system is associated with outcomes like a higher likelihood of being in medical care. There is a tension between legislative mandates and planning council priorities, especially once results-based evaluation begins.
- He added new legislation requires planning councils to describe how they measure PWH/A unaware of their status, target testing to identify them, and link them to medical care. Planning councils will be required in 2011 to evaluate success at identifying and linking unaware PWH/A to care. Thirty-three percent (33%) of supplemental funding will be based on planning for and implementing these new mandates.
- Several suggested peer-to-peer systems in some EMAs to help in identifying, bringing into care, and supporting PWH. Volunteerism could be rebuilt here, but the epidemic once addressed by volunteer-based services has changed from one needing palliative support to a chronic disease model. No one has identified why volunteers here dwindled dramatically, e.g., whether people felt less need because more information was available.
- Return-to-work services can benefit the system by helping those able to do so to leave it, but there are many such services outside the Ryan White system for that and other services/health systems that could be better linked to clients. Services outside Ryan White also need to be educated that County services for PWH/A are not all-encompassing.
- Ms. Watt noted few clients are aware how services are funded. Increasing awareness could fuel greater participation in the process by clients so that need could be better evaluated. In categories like substance abuse client self-awareness is poor and need must be estimated from data while PWH/A not in care may be unaware of services at all.
- ⇒ Addition to LACHNA: Question to identify eligibility reason, where applicable, for denial of needed service.
- Bold Home-Based, Case Management on priorities table since HRSA now categorizes it as part of Core Medical.

DISCUSSION THEMES FOR NEXT PRIORITIES AND PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING

Zero-sum based priority-setting with consideration of number of categories that can be effectively/efficiently funded Appropriate emphasis on absolute needs of the poorest and sickest versus utilization data

New legislative requirements and HRSA mandates regarding unmet need

Review provider forums

Review consumer forums

- 10. **SERVICE CATEGORY PRESENTATIONS**: This item was postponed.
- 11. PROCUREMENT/SOLICITATION PROCESS REFORM: This item was postponed.
- 12. ADVERSITY SECTORS: This item was postponed.
- 13. **GEOGRAPHIC ESTIMATE OF NEED FORMULA**: This item was postponed.
- 14. **HOSPICE SERVICES NEEDS ASSESSMENT**: This item was postponed.
- 15. MONITORING GOALS/OBJECTIVES: This item was postponed.
- 16. **COMMITTEE WORK PLAN**: This item was postponed.
- 17. **OTHER STREAMS OF FUNDING**: This item was postponed.
- 18. **STANDING SUBCOMMITTEES**: This item was postponed.
- 19. **NEXT STEPS**: There was no additional discussion.
- 20. **ANNOUNCEMENTS**: There were no announcements.
- 21. **ADJOURNMENT**: The meeting was adjourned at 3:55 pm.